(3) (5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.3487 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Dhyan Singh, aged about 24 years, Son of Late Shri Shiv Sharan Singh, Resident of Village & Post Kanjia, Tahsil Bharatpur, District Korea (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3548/06
Presented by Shri Sanjay Ku. Agrwal
dated 12/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. State of Madhya Pradesh, Through: The Secretary, School Education Department, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.).

3. The Director, Public Instruction, Raipur (C.G.).

4. The District Education Officer, Korea, District Korea (C.G.).

5. The Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Kora, District Korea (C.G.).

6. The Block Education Officer, Bharatpur, District Korea (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
12/7/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

8/7/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P(S)..3482 **सन् 2006**

(24)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	17-7-2006 Shri Sanjay Ku. Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the State/respondents No.1, 3, 4, 5 and 6, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. The main grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition is that his father late Shri Shiv Sharan Singh was working as Assistant Teacher under respondent No.6 and he died in the harness on 7-1-1991, at that time, the petitioner was minor, therefore, on attaining the majority in the year 2000, he applied for compassionate appointment in the year 2004. The application of the petitioner was forwarded by respondent No.6 to respondent No.5. However, the application of the petitioner for compassionate appointment is not being decided. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent No.5 be directed the consider the application of the petitioner in accordance with policy and decide the same to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.5 is directed to consider the application of the petitioner for compassionate appointment in accordance with policy prevalent and framed by the State Government and inform the petitioner about the decision taken on the application of the petitioner. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A. No.6782/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Barve

P.T.O.